



115
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-1215-2025(O&M)
Date of decision:14.01.2025

Baljit Kaur

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. K.K. Saini, Advocate, for the petitioner.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of BNSS seeking exercise of extra-ordinary inherent jurisdiction of this Hon'ble Court for a direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to initiate necessary legal action on the complaints dated 12.09.2022(Annexure P-1) and dated 27.02.2024 (Annexure P-2) against respondent No.4.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that the petitioner who is of the age 32 years has filed complaints to the police by stating that respondent No.4 on the pretext of marriage had been living with her, although with the consent of the petitioner herself but thereafter he resiled from the promise and is now wanting to marry some other girl and therefore, legal action may be taken against respondent No.4.

3. Learned counsel submitted that in the complaint to the SSP, the petitioner has also stated that respondent No.4 is threatening the petitioner and



her children and submitted that so far as the main prayer to take legal action against respondent No.4 for committing a fraud by not marrying the petitioner despite promising to do so is concerned, he does not wish to press the same. He submitted that now the prayer of the petitioner is confined only to the limited extent that the concerned police officer be directed to assess the threat perception of the petitioner and in case, there is a threat perception, then some adequate action may be taken in this regard by the concerned police officer.

- 4. Notice of motion to a limited extent to respondents No.1 to 3 only.
- 5. Mr. Vinay Kumar Malhotra, learned DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the aforesaid respondents and has submitted that in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner pertaining to the threat perception only, he has no objection in case the SSP, Patiala assesses the threat perception of the petitioner and her children and in case it is so required then appropriate action will be taken in this regard within a period of one week from today.
- 6. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances and considering the limited prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of. The SSP, Patiala shall assess the threat perception of the petitioner and her children from respondent No.4 within one week and in case, it is so required, then necessary action shall be taken in accordance with law.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)

JUDGE

14.01.2025
rakesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No