



112

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-10369-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 24-09-2025

Ranveer Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana & Ors.

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Lupil Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Shaveta Sanghi, DAG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (Oral)

Apprehending threat to the lives and liberty of the petitioner and his family members at the hands of the private respondents, the petitioner has come up before this Court by invoking their fundamental rights of life guaranteed under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, seeking direction to the State to protect them from the private respondents.

2. At the outset, counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be contended and satisfied if respondent no.3-SP, Ambala is directed to decide the representation (Annexure P-4) made before him in a time bound manner.

3. Notice served upon the official respondent(s) through State's counsel. The nature of order this Court proposes to pass, no response of the official respondent(s) is required.

4. Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of, with a direction to respondent no.3-SP, Ambala to decide the representation (Annexure P-4) submitted by the petitioner, either himself/herself or by delegating the same to any officer not below the rank of IPS, by passing a speaking order, within two months, in accordance with law. The order so passed be communicated to the petitioner as well as to his counsel on his email ID as well.

Petition is allowed to the extent mentioned above. The petitioner shall be at liberty to approach this Court against the order so passed, if required. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

24-09-2025
AK

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

NOTE: Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking
Whether reportable: YES/NO