



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-28415-2025

Date of Decision:22.09.2025

Kanta Rani

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present: Mr. R.K Arora, Advocate with
Mr. Jugam Arora, Advocate
Mr. Prabhat Kashyap, Advocate
Mr. J.S Bhogal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India with a prayer to issue a Writ in the nature of Mandamus directing respondents to grant the petitioner salary in the minimum of the regular pay scale with DA in the same terms as allowed to the other similarly situated petitioners in CWP-26367-2018, decided on 25.11.2024 (Annexure P-6) implemented vide order dated 13.05.2025 as per statement made in COCP No.1178/2025 (Annexure P-7) and office order dated 19.06.2025 and letters dated 03.07.2025 (Annexures P-8 and P-9) and grant the petitioner same benefit from the same date with all consequential benefits in view of the judgment passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matter of **"State of Punjab and others Vs. Jagjit Singh and others, 2016 (4) SCT 641** and also in terms of judgment dated 25.11.2024 (Annexure P-6) passed by this Court in CWP-26367-2017, titled as **"Pritpal Kaur and Others Vs. State of**

Punjab and Anr.”.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends the said relief has already been granted to several other similarly situated employees, who are working in Health Department. Learned counsel for the petitioner further contend that the petitioner has already submitted a representation dated 02.06.2025 (Annexure P-12) to the respondent No.2 and he shall be satisfied at this stage, in case, appropriate directions are issued to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 02.06.2025 (Annexure P-12) in light of the judgments rendered by the Hon’ble Supreme Court of India in the matter of **“State of Punjab and others Vs. Jagjit Singh and others, 2016 (4) SCT 641 and** judgment dated 25.11.2024 (Annexure P-6) passed by this Court in CWP-26367-2017, titled as **“Pritpal Kaur and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Anr.”.**

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr.Swapan Shorey, DAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents and Mr. Arshdeep Bhullar, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.3 and they have no serious objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, at this stage.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

6. At this stage, this Court deems it appropriate to direct the respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 02.06.2025 (Annexure P-12) submitted by the petitioner within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

7. While deciding the representation, the respondent No.2 shall take into consideration the law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in the matter of **State of Punjab and others Vs. Jagjit Singh and others, 2016 (4) SCT 641 and** judgment dated 25.11.2024 (Annexure P-6) passed by this Court in CWP-26367-2017,titled as “**Pritpal Kaur and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Anr.”**.

8. It is expected that respondent No.2 shall pass a speaking and well reasoned order and in case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled to any consequential relief, the same shall be allowed along with reasonable rate of interest, thereon.

9. Disposed of.

22.09.2025
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No