



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

238

CRM-M-53208-2025

Date of decision: 27th November, 2025

Sukhdeep Singh @ Sukhpreet Singh @ Sukh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present: Mr. M.S. Hundal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Durgesh Garg, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J (ORAL):-

The present petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') by the petitioner seeking grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No. 88 dated 02.09.2024 registered under Sections 118(1), 3(5) and 351(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNS') (Sections 118(2) and 115(2) of BNS were added later on) at Police Station Sadar Patti, Tarn Taran. His previous petition CRM-M-21122-2025 has been dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 24.07.2025.

2. The aforementioned FIR was registered on the basis of statement recorded by the complainant Shubhdeep Singh alleging that on 22.08.2024, he was going towards Mala Cutting Shop, when he was intercepted by the petitioner and co-accused who were armed with weapons.

The co-accused Raghbir Singh made an exhortation to catch him and not to



leave him and then the petitioner opened an assault upon him by striking a blow with a *datar* thereby injuring the elbow of his right arm and causing grievous injury to him. The co-accused Raghbir Singh also struck blows with *datar* on his head, whereas the another accused Sidakpreet Singh gave baseball blow upon him. On clamour being raised, some public persons had reached there and then the assailants fled. After registration of FIR, investigation proceedings were initiated. The petitioner was arrested on 04.03.2025. Co-accused were also subsequently arrested. Investigation now stands completed and the challan has been presented against the petitioner and the co-accused.

3. It is argued by learned counsel for the petitioner that he has been falsely implicated in this case. He is in custody since long. The injury attributed to him is on non-vital part of the body. The subject offences are triable by Magistrate. Co-accused Raghbir Singh has been extended benefit of regular bail and co-accused Sidakpreet Singh @ Sidak Singh @ Pete has been extended benefit of anticipatory bail. On parity, he too deserves to be extended the same benefit. It is, therefore, urged that the petition deserves to be allowed.

4. Status report has been filed. Learned State counsel has argued that keeping in view the gravity of the allegations as levelled against the petitioner and his criminal antecedents, he does not deserve to be released on bail. Therefore, it is urged that the petition does not deserve to be allowed.

5. This Court has heard learned counsel for the parties at considerable length.

6. The petitioner along with the co-accused is alleged to have



assaulted the complainant as on 22.08.2024. The FIR was registered after a gap of ten days in this case. The petitioner is in custody since 04.03.2025. Trial is likely to take time. Even charges have not been framed so far. The co-accused have been extended benefit of bail. Keeping in view above discussed facts, but without meaning to make any comment on the merits thereof, I am of the considered opinion that the petition deserves to be allowed. Hence, the same is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned.

7. Since the main petition has been allowed, pending application, if any, is rendered infructuous.

[MANISHA BATRA]
JUDGE

27th November, 2025
Parveen Sharma

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |