



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**261**

**CWP-26530-2023(O&M)**

Date of Decision : **February 17, 2025**

**HARYANA KABADDI ASSOCIATION**

.....Petitioner

***VERSUS***

**AMATEUR KABADDI ASSOCIATION INDIA AND OTHERS**

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI**

Present : Mr. Shiv Kumar Sharma, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Kunal Prakash, Advocate  
for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. Chetan Mittal, Sr. Advocate assisted by  
Mr. Udit Garg, Advocate  
for respondent No.3.

**KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)**

1. At the very outset, this Court has been informed that Amateur Kabaddi Association India, which is arrayed as respondent No.1. is infact incorrect name and which has caused due to some inadvertence. Therefore, request is made to implead Amateur Kabaddi Federation of India as respondent No.1 and accordingly, the correction is also required in the name of respondent No.2.

2. Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate undertakes to place on record the correct memo of parties with the Registry of this Court. He is directed to do needful within the course of the day.

3. Through the instant petition, as cast under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, prayer is made for issuance of mandamus upon respondent No.1 for constitution of Arbitration Commission in view of Clause 24.4 of the Memorandum of Association & Constitution adopted by respondent No.1.

4. Upon notice, reply on behalf of respondent No.1 has been filed and through the reply, it has been brought on record that the appeal, which is to be decided by Arbitration Commission, the request for constitution of Arbitration Commission has been declined vide order dated 9.12.2023.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that order (supra) is nonest and they want to challenge the order by filing an apt motion before this Court.

6. In view of the supervening events, this Court is of the view that the cause of action for maintaining the instant petition does not survive and is rendered infructuous. However, liberty is extended to the petitioner to throw challenge to the order (supra) in case the grievance still survives.

7. **Disposed** of as having been rendered infructuous, however, with asked for liberty.

8. It goes without saying that this Court has not decided the instant issue on the merit of the instant case, rather on account of supervening events.

9. All pending application(s), if any, also stand disposed of accordingly.

February 17, 2025  
ajay-1

(KULDEEP TIWARI)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No  
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No