



218 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-55937-2024
Date of Decision: 15.01.2025

Maninder Singh	vs.	...Petitioner
State of Punjab		...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Ashutosh Bilves, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepinder Singh Brar, Senior DAG, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant anticipatory bail to him in case FIR No.218 dated 30.06.2019 registered under Sections 302, 341, 148, 149, 506 of IPC, at Police Station Zirakpur, District SAS Nagar.
2. Vide order dated 04.12.2024, this Court has noticed the following contentions:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the FIR in the present case was registered on 30.06.2019 against four unknown persons including the driver of car bearing registration No.PB-65-AK-9297 make Ford Figo. During the course of investigation, the police found the involvement of three accused, namely, Gurwinder Singh, Sukhwinder Singh and Parvinder Singh and challan was presented against the said three accused. During the course of trial, the statement of PW-2 Pawan Saroha, complainant was recorded, in which he alleged that there was one more person along with three accused, namely, Mintu. He further



contends that the complainant had named Mintu as an accused during the course of investigation also and the said person was found to be Kamaldeep @ Mintu. However, Kamaldeep @ Mintu was not found and he was exonerated by the police. Learned counsel further contends that now the complainant has wrongly alleged that the alias name of the petitioner, namely Maninder Singh is also Mintu and he was also involved in the occurrence. Learned counsel further contends that the said improvement has been made by PW-3 Nipun Jain in his testimony, just to falsely involve the petitioner with an oblique motive. Learned counsel further contends that the petitioner had joined investigation also and his involvement was not found by the police in the crime.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 04.12.2024 passed by this Court, the petitioner had appeared before the Court of Additional Sessions Judge, District SAS Nagar, Mohali and was admitted to interim bail.

4. Learned State counsel is not in a position to controvert the said submission.

5. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the parties, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 04.12.2024, passed by this Court is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to appear before the trial Court on each and every date of hearing and shall not absent from the trial Court proceedings, without seeking prior permission of the trial Court.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

15.01.2025
hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No