



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

107

**CWP-28052-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 19.09.2025**

Raj Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Adish Jain, Advocate
and Ms. Nishtha Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Hardeep Singh Wadhwa, DAG, Punjab.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to jointly and severally grant the benefit of monthly pension, from the date of its accrual i.e. date of retirement of petitioner from service of the respondents, till date at par with petitioners colleagues along with all benefits and arrears. Further prayer has been made to direct the officers concerned of the offices of the respondents to make all the contributions to be made by them and also by the petitioner to facilitate and ensure the preparation, initiation and finalization of the monthly pension case of the petitioner and then to sanction/grant and start disbursement of monthly pension and also to make the payment of such withheld and not paid of the pension with



effect from accrual till actual payment to the petitioner. Another prayer has been made to hold and quote the respondents guilty for non-grant of pensionary benefits to the petitioner. Another prayer has been made to decide the writ in light of the Final decision dated 27.05.2015 (Annexure P-12) of this Court in CWP No.14433 of 2011 whereby similarly placed persons were released all the consequential arrears. Lastly it is prayed that direction be issued to the respondents to decide the representations (Annexure P-1, P-2 and P-3) and Legal Notices (Annexure P-5, P-7, P-8 and P-11) in a time bound manner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied if the legal notice dated 20.08.2025 (Annexure P-11) of the petitioner is decided by respondent No.2 by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Learned State counsel, appearing on advance notice, submits that he has no objection, in case a direction is issued to the respondent No.2 for time-bound consideration and decision of the legal notice dated 20.08.2025 (Annexure P-11) of the petitioner by passing a speaking order.

4. Therefore, in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, respondent No.2 is directed to consider the legal notice dated 20.08.2025 (Annexure P-11) of the petitioner and pass a speaking order, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, within a period of 03 months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereof shall be



conveyed to the petitioner. Needless to say, if the petitioner is found entitled to the relief sought, the same shall be granted forthwith by respondent No.2.

5. Disposed of, accordingly.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

19.09.2025
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No