



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

211-2

CRM-M-52776-2025  
Date of decision: 19.11.2025

DEEPAK KUMAR SHARMA AND OTHERS

....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Mr. G.B.S. Dhillon, Sr. Advocate with  
Mr. J.S. Bajwa, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Jatinder Pal Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

**YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR. J.(Oral)**

1. Present petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.0119, dated 09.08.2025, under Sections 305(a), 127(1), 332(c), 3(5), 351(3) of BNS 2023 (Corresponding Sections 380, 340, 451, 34 and 503 IPC), registered at Police Station Haibowal, Ludhiana, District Police Commissionerate Ludhiana.

2. On 23.09.2025, following order was passed:-

1. *Prayer in these petitions under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.0119, dated 09.08.2025, under Sections 305(a), 127(1), 332(c), 3(5), 351(3) of BNS 2023 (Corresponding Sections 380, 340, 451, 34 and 503 IPC), registered at Police Station Haibowal, Ludhiana, District Police Commissionerate Ludhiana.*

2. *Notice of motion.*

3. *Mr. G.S. Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Both the parties have been heard and material on file has been perused.*



- 2-

4. *The present case has been registered on the basis of complaint given by Ashima Khosla with the allegations that on 02.05.2025, at about 11:55 am or 12:10 pm, she was present at her clinic along with her staff, when Anu Rani along with her sister Shelly, brothers Deepak Sharma and Amit Sharma and driver Mani entered her office and abused her staff and sent them out. They bolted the door from inside and Anu Rani and Deepak Sharma caught hold of her arms and Shelly strangulated her neck and snatched her gold chain, while Deepak Sharma took out Rs.18,000/- lying in the drawer. They proclaimed that they have murdered her father and brother and now they will kill her. She further alleged that the reason for enmity is that Anu Rani was working as maid with her father and now she wants to usurp all the property of her deceased father.*

5. *Learned counsel for the petitioners contended that complainant had earlier lodged an FIR No.66 dated 17.07.2025, under Sections 318(4) 316(2), 61(2) of BNS, registered at Police Station Daresi, District Police Commissionerate Ludhiana, in which it has been admitted that petitioner No.2 is the step-mother of the complainant and a dispute had arisen over the estate left behind by late Sh.Anil Khosla and in the said FIR No.66 dated 17.07.2025, petitioner No.2 was released on anticipatory bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 26.08.2025, passed in CRM-M-42334-2025. Now, the present FIR has been again got registered in which the occurrence alleged to have taken place on 02.05.2025, but FIR was registered on 09.08.2025, after a delay of 03 months and a tutored version has been put forward, just to wreak vengeance due to family dispute. Learned counsel next contended that petitioners are ready to join the investigation and to abide by the conditions that may be imposed by the Court and benefit of anticipatory bail be extended in favour of the petitioners.*

6. *On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the bail and argued that petitioners have committed a heinous offence. The gold chain and cash amount is yet to be recovered and custodial interrogation of the petitioners is required.*



- 3-

7. *Adjourned to 19.11.2025 for filing status report. Meanwhile, the petitioners are directed to join the investigation and in the event of their arrest, they shall be admitted to ad-interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS [erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.]:-*

- i) that the petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- ii) that the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;*
- iii) that the petitioners shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court;*
- iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of Section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.*

8. *A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of the connected cases.*

3. Mr. Shivraj Daumajra, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant, and filed his *Vakalatnama*, which is taken on record.

4. Today, learned State counsel has informed this Court that the petitioners have joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 23.09.2025 and are no longer required for further investigation.

5. In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 23.09.2025, whereby the petitioners were granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, they shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

- 6. Disposed of.
- 7. Pending misc application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

19.11.2025  
amandeep

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No  
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No