



**324 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-55159-2024**

**Date of decision : 24.02.2025**

**Parekh Krunal Hasmukhbhai and another .....Petitioners**

**versus**

**State of Haryana and another .....Respondents**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ**

Present :- Mr. Shivam Grover, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Kirpal Singh Thakur, A.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Balwinder Singh, Advocate for respondent No.2.

**RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)**

1. Present petition has been filed seeking quashing of FIR No.111 dated 10.08.2024 under Sections 318(4) and 61(2) of BNS (Section 241 of BNS added lateron), registered at Police Station Cyber Crime, Ballabgarh, Faridabad, Haryana and all other consequential proceedings arising out of the same on the basis of compromise dated 25.10.2024.

2. It has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners have been prosecuted in FIR No.111 dated 10.08.2024 under Sections 318(4) and 61(2) of BNS (Section 241 of BNS added lateron). He submits that the present petition has not been filed by all the accused persons as the compromise has been arrived at between two of the accused and the complainant. He, thus submits that in the attending facts and circumstances, as the matter has been compromised between the petitioners and the complainant, the present FIR is liable to be quashed.



3. On the other hand, learned State counsel, on instructions, has submitted that in all there are total 08 accused, out of which 04 accused have been arrested and LOC has been issued against 02 accused and 02 accused's arrest is still pending. He has thus submitted that the compromise alleged is a partial compromise and hence the petition filed by the petitioners is not maintainable in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court in **CRM-M-48043-2023** titled as ***'Rakesh Das vs. State of Haryana and another'***, decided on 12.11.2024.

4. The Court has heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. It is apparent that the petitioners are not the only accused in the present FIR and there are other accused as well, who are not part of compromise allegedly arrived at between the petitioners and complainant. Under these circumstances, it is clear that the compromise effected is a partial one. Hon'ble the Division Bench of this Court in **CRM-M-48043-2023** titled as **Rakesh Das vs. State of Haryana and another**, decided on 12.11.2024, has held that no quashing should be allowed on the basis of the partial compromise and thus, the present petition cannot be accepted.

6. Hence, the present petition is dismissed. However the petitioner would be at liberty to avail alternative remedy as available to them in accordance with law

24.02.2025

( **RAJESH BHARDWAJ** )  
**JUDGE**

*ps-I*

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No