



132

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-10145-2025
Date of Decision: 17.09.2025**

Nirmal Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AARADHNA SAWHNEY

Present: Mr. Angrej Singh Sarwara, Advocate and
Mr. Shubham, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kamalpreet Bawa, DAG, Punjab.

AARADHNA SAWHNEY, J. (ORAL)

1. Apprehending threat from respondent Nos.4 to 13, the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been preferred by the petitioner, vide which she has prayed to issue directions to official respondents to protect her life and liberty.

2. It is, *inter alia*, contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has been consistently receiving threats from respondent Nos.4 to 13. Learned counsel submits that there has been dispute between the petitioner and private respondents with respect to a house (details of which have been mentioned in para 2 of the petition). Petitioner instituted a civil suit titled as “*Nirmal Kaur Vs. Surinder Singh and others*”, against the private respondents. Learned trial Court restrained the respondents from interfering in the house and vacant land of the petitioner in terms of the order dated 06.08.2025. Allegation of petitioner is that despite stay order, private respondents are continuously issuing threats to her. In this context, she (P) had

intimated the local police authorities and had requested them to initiate appropriate action, but till date nothing has been done. It is in this backdrop that the present petition has been filed by the petitioner.

3. As of now, learned counsel limits his prayer to direct the official respondents to decide her representation dated 04.09.2025 (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law in an expeditious manner.

4. Notice of motion to official respondents only.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Kamalpreet Bawa, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3-State.

6. After considering the respective submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner and the averments made in the petition, without commenting on the merits thereof, the present petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka to consider and dispose of the representation dated 04.09.2025 (Annexure P-3) within a period of two weeks in accordance with law in an expeditious manner. The decision so taken be intimated to the petitioner as well.

17.09.2025

Parveen kumar

(AARADHNA SAWHNEY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No