



**230 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-12297-2022 (O & M)
Date of decision: 20.01.2025**

SHYAM SINGH AND OTHERS

...PETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Ms. Kusum, Advocate for
Mr. ADS Jattana, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Rishabh Singla, AAG, Punjab.
Mr. A.K. Agnihotri, Advocate for respondent No.9.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of Constitution of India read with Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking protection to the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondent Nos.6 to 9.

2. Learned State counsel, at the very outset, submits that the present petition filed by the petitioners is totally misconceived and he refers to paragraphs 11 and 12 of the status report dated 16.05.2023 filed by way of an affidavit of Navreet Singh Virk, PPS, Superintendent of Police (Rural), District SAS Nagar and submits that the veracity of the allegations made by the petitioners, in the present petition has been duly verified and no such act is found to have taken place and no substance was found in the complaint (Annexure P-13) received from the petitioners. Rather, it has been informed that the petitioners are creating a defence with regard to complaint received against them. Thereafter, the complaint (Annexure P-3) made by the petitioners was consigned to the record room, as the veracity of the allegations was found

CRWP-12297-2022 (O & M)

to be false. Further, as far as the question of life and liberty of the petitioners is concerned, learned State counsel submits that the threat perception of the petitioners was dispassionately examined. Furthermore, the present petition was filed in the year 2022, however, nothing has been brought to the notice of the jurisdictional police that could pose any kind of threat to life and liberty of the petitioners. However, in order to ensure the life and liberty of the petitioners, the concerned In-Charge, Police Station Lalru has been directed to increase the patrolling in the area of the petitioners and the petitioners have been provided the phone number of the SHO concerned and the MHC of the police station Lalru for immediate help, if required.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that respondent No.9 tried to encroach upon the land belonging to Gurudwara Sahib for his own benefits. The land in dispute is within the Abedi Deh/Red line of the village, which is also used for common purposes by the communities. Learned counsel submits that at that time, the private respondents were deliberately causing discretion, hurting the religious sentiments of the community and also threatened them including the petitioners.

4. In view of the status report filed by respondent Nos.1 to 5-State, no further directions are required to be passed and the present petition stands disposed of accordingly.

5. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

January 20, 2025

manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|------|---------------------------|--------|
| (i) | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| (ii) | Whether reportable | Yes/No |