

2025:PHHC:012466



135 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCP-4253-2024 (O&M)
Decided on:-28.01.2025

Nishan Preet Attri

....Petitioner..

VS.

Sh. Vijoy Kumar Singh (IAS), Special Chief
Secretary-cum-Financial Commissioner, Deptt. of Cooperation
and others

...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Manbir Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gunjan Mehta, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

HARKESH MANUJA J. (Oral)

1. Separate replies on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2, respectively, have been filed in Court today and the same are taken on record.

2. Learned counsel for the respondent, on instructions, informs that the prayer made on behalf of the petitioner with respect to completion of probation period has been acceded to, whereas, the grievance with respect to his ACRs and non-consideration of complaints, the needful shall be done within a period of two weeks from today by the Competent Authority and a speaking order thereupon shall be passed after hearing the petitioner and a copy thereof shall be served upon the petitioner within a week thereafter.

3. In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner does not want to press the present petition.

4. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed as not pressed.
Rule stands discharged.

5. However, in case the needful is not done within the afore-stated period, as per undertaking, the petitioner would be at liberty to seek revival of the present contempt petition and in that eventuality, the erring concerned officer(s) would be liable to pay additional sum of Rs.50,000/- as costs from his/her own pocket in favour of the petitioner towards litigation expenses, immediately, i.e. on the first date of listing of revival application.

28.01.2025
sonika

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/ No

(HARKESH MANUJA)

JUDGE