



**214(1) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-52918-2025
Date of Decision: 03.11.2025**

JATEN ALIAS JETTEN JOSHI

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Mr. Kirat Pal Dhaliwal, Advocate for
Mr. Kuldeep Attri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gorav Kathuria, DAG Punjab
assisted by ASI Jaswant Singh.

Mr. Japjit Singh Johal, Advocate
for the complainant.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.151 dated 09.06.2025, registered under Sections 118(1), 126(2), 351(2), 324(4) & 3(5) of BNS, 2023 at Police Station Tanda, District Hoshiarpur.
2. Status report by way of affidavit dated 02.11.2025 of Dawinder Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Tanda, District Hoshiarpur filed on behalf of the State is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.
3. On 19.09.2025, the following order was passed:-

“1. Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.151 dated 09.06.2025, registered under Sections 118(1),



126(2), 351(2), 324(4) & 3(5) of BNS, 2023 at Police Station Tanda, District Hoshiarpur.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. G.S. Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and Mr. J.S. Johal Advocate accept notice and filed his Vakalatnama on behalf of the complainant, which is taken on record. Both the parties have been heard and material on file has been perused.

4. The present case was registered on the basis of statement given to the police by Amritpal Singh, alleging that on 09.06.2025, he, along with his brother Tejinder Singh, was going on his motorcycle to provide food to the labourers working in the village. When they reached near the pond of village Sohian, Jaspal, a resident of Sohian, waylaid them and started slapping and abusing them. Thereafter, without confronting him, they proceeded on their motorcycle towards village Jian Natha and upon reaching near the Government School, Khuda, Jaspal Singh arrived there in his Swift car and with an intention to kill the complainant, he hit his car against their motorcycle, as a result of which they fell on the road. Thereafter, Jaspal took out a stick attached with a barcha from the car and hit the complainant and his brother in their backs. Thereafter, he took out a pistol from the car and threatened to kill them and when people started gathering there, he fled away. Thereafter, he along with his brother went to Civil Hospital, Tanda, where they were admitted in the emergency ward but said Jaspal armed with barcha and accompanied by Jaten armed with small kirch and Katen armed with kirch also reached the emergency ward of the hospital and Katen gave a kirch blow to the complainant on the left side of his head with an intention to kill him. He gave second blow on the right side of his head and thereafter, Jaspal gave a blow on his left knee and Jaten gave a blow on the left side of the waist. Thereafter, Katen gave another blow with the kirch which hit in the middle of the head of the complainant. When people started gathering at the spot, Jaspal got himself admitted in the hospital and got issued an MLR. Thereafter, he left the hospital with his nephews. He further alleged that complainant has taken land of the accused in the village on lease and they stopped him from doing so and which was the motive for causing injuries to them. During investigation, the offence under Section 109 of BNS has been deleted and now petitioner is apprehending arrest under Sections 118(1), 126(2), 351(2), 324(4) & 3(5) of BNS, 2023.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. In the present case, two occurrences have taken place and in the first alleged occurrence, it is co-accused Jaspal, who had caused injuries to the complainant and his brother and in the second



occurrence, Jaspal accompanied by Katen @ Katten Joshi and present petitioner, are stated to have assaulted the complainant in the hospital. Learned counsel next contended that victims had suffered simple injuries and co-accused Jaspal has also suffered injuries as per MLR, which has been placed on file. Learned counsel contended that petitioner is ready to join the investigation and to abide by the conditions that may be imposed by the Court and benefit of anticipatory bail be extended in his favour.

6. On the other hand, learned State counsel assisted by counsel for the complainant has opposed the bail and argued that petitioner along with co-accused had assaulted the victim in the hospital, where he was admitted on account of injuries caused to him by co-accused Jaspal on the same day and in view of the gravity of offence, he does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

*7. Adjourned to **03.11.2025** for filing status report. Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to ad-interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS [erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.]:-*

i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;


iii) that the petitioner shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court;

iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of Section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.

8. To be heard along with CRM-M-44950-2025.

4. Today, on instructions from ASI Jaswant Singh, learned State counsel has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 19.09.2025 and is no longer required for further investigation.

5. In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 19.09.2025, whereby



the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute.
However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the
Investigating Officer.

- 6. Disposed of.
- 7. Pending misc application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed
of.

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

03.11.2025
Priyanka Thakur

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No