



**276 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-54657-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 04.03.2025

AMANDEEP SINGH AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Nitesh Sharma, DAG Punjab.

Mr. Arman Goyal, Advocate
for respondents No. 2 and 3.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No. 0043 dated 11.04.2024 registered under Sections 457, 506 and 120-B of Indian Penal Code at Police Station NRI District SAS Nagar and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 08.09.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that entire amount agreed as per the compromise has been received by respondents No. 2 and 3. As such, the entire dispute between the parties stands settled in terms of compromise (Annexure P-2).

3. Following terms and conditions were incorporated in the compromise dated 08.09.2024 (Annexure P-2):-

“Today on dated 08.09.2024 both the parties in the presence of the witnesses entered into a compromise as under:-

1. That the first party has instituted the suit for recovery of damages against the second party in the Court of Ms Renu Goel,



Civil Judge (Jr Divn) Fatehgarh Sahib and the same titled as Sukhdev Kaur Versus Arshpreet Singh and the same is pending.

2. *That the first party lodged the FIR against the second party vide FIR No.0043 dated 11.04.2024 under Sections 457, 506, 120B IPC registered at Police Station NRI District SAS Nagar.*

3. *That the respectable members has got compromised the matter between us. Accordingly, second party agreed to give sum of Rs.9 lacs in lieu of unpaid bill, unpaid rent and machinery, property, damage to the office furniture, shall be given by the second party. Out of this deduction of Rs. 1,33,660/- of security and tax refund, balance sum of Rs.7,67,000/- will have to pay by the second party to the first party. Today second party has paid the sum of Rs.4,50,000/- by way of cheque no.001897 to the first party.*

4. *That the second party agreed to get delete/redeem the rapat no.348 dated 8.5.2018 vide entry dated 8.4.2018 from the record of Village Behla Khanpur Tehsil Bassi Pathana Distt Fatehgarh Sahib.*

5. *That the second party after payment of first installment, got delete the entry from the record. Thereafter, the first party shall withdrew the civil case filed by them in the Court at Fatehgarh Sahib. In case any of the party backed out, the other party has a right to initiate legal proceedings in accordance with law.*

6. *That the first party, Jasleen Kaur has got registered the FIR against the second party. The second party on the basis of the compromise shall file the quashing petition in the Hon'ble High Court. First party bound to make statement for the quashing of the FIR No.43 dated 11.04.2024 under Sections 457, 506, 120B IPC registered at Police Station NRI District SAS Nagar. Second party shall pay a sum of Rs.3,17,000/- to the first party at the time of got recording the statement.*

7. *That there is no grudge between the parties left and both the parties shall maintain peace and harmony in future and shall not file any case against each other in respect of the above dispute and shall not interfere in the life of each other.*

8. *That the compromise has been written on the consent of both the parties and both the parties after thoughtful consideration without any coercion and pressure consented that both the parties bound by the compromise arrived between them and shall not file any kind of case against each other. The compromise has been written, so as the same came in work on need.”*



CRM-M-54657-2024 (O&M)

-3-

4. Learned counsel for respondents No. 2 and 3 submits that respondent No. 2 is present in the Court today and entire amount as per the agreement/compromise has been received by the private respondents and nothing is due towards the petitioners and respondents No. 2 and 3 have no objection, in case the FIR(supra) is quashed on the basis of compromise.

5. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466** and **Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 0043 dated 11.04.2024 registered under Sections 457, 506 and 120-B of Indian Penal Code at Police Station NRI District SAS Nagar along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed, qua the petitioners.

04.03.2025
Ajay Goswami

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>