



236 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-52992-2025
Date of decision: 27.11.2025

AMIT KUMAR @ GHULLA

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present: Ms. Aayushi, Advocate with
 Mr. Gaurav, Advocate and
 Mr. Aryan Sharma, Advocate for
 Mr. Umesh Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gorav Kathuria, DAG, Punjab.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the present petition under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case having FIR No.18 dated 31.01.2021 registered under Sections 307/506/427/148/149 of IPC and Sections 25(6) and 27 of Arms Act at Police Station Cantonment, Amritsar.
2. Status report by way of an affidavit of Sub Inspector Jatinder Singh, SHO PS Cantonment, Amritsar filed on behalf of the State is taken on record along with custody certificate.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel have been heard and material collected by the police during investigation has been perused.



4. The present case was registered on the basis of statement given to the police by Ajay Kumar @ Raju with the allegations that his family had an old grudge with one person namely Sahil @ Kalu and cases have been registered against each other. Sahil @ Kalu has made a gang named 'Kalu Gang'. Yesterday night, he along with his family was present at his home and during the night at about 1:16 AM, he heard the noise of bullet shots at the gate of his house and he saw from the roof of his house that Sahil @ Kalu, Saurav Bhatti, K.P., Amit Kumar @ Ghulla (petitioner) and Rahul @ Atuu were standing with some unknown persons. They were carrying pistols in their hands and were raising lalkara asking them to come out of the house and were threatening to kill them. They were also proclaiming that the FIR which has been got registered by his son should be compromised. He told them while standing on the roof that they had caused injuries to his son by firing bullet shots and we will not compromise the matter, on which, Sahil and his friend got angry and they started firing directly at him and his family members and inside the gate of the house of his brother Vijay Kumar but they saved themselves by lying on the roof of the house. Earlier also, said Sahil had fired at his house regarding which one FIR bearing No.154/2020 had also been got registered at Police Station, Cantonment, in which his son Atish had suffered bullet injury. The accused are pressurizing them to enter into a compromise and with this intention, they attacked them today also and he sought action against them. Petitioner was arrested on 12.07.2021. Remaining accused were also arrested and after completion of investigation, final report was presented in the Court



for trial.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that petitioner has been falsely implicated due to previous enmity between the parties. Petitioner is in custody since 12.07.2021. Final report has already been presented after completion of investigation. Two of the co-accused namely Karanpreet Singh @ KP and Sahil @ Kalu, whose case is identical to that of petitioner, have been released on regular bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 17.02.2022. The trial will take sufficiently long time to conclude and as such, no useful purpose will be served by detaining the petitioner in custody anymore and he may be released on bail.

6. On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the bail and argued that in view of the gravity of offence, petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail.

7. Petitioner is in custody since 12.07.2021. No one has suffered any injury. Co-accused namely Karanpreet Singh @ KP and Sahil @ Kalu, whose case is identical to that of petitioner, have already been released on regular bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 17.02.2022. The trial will take a long time to conclude and no useful purpose will, thus, be served by detaining the petitioner in custody anymore and he deserves to be released on bail.

8. Having regard to the aforesaid factual position, but without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the bail application is allowed and petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Trial



Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, on usual terms and conditions.

9. Pending misc. application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

27.11.2025
Priyanka Thakur

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No