



135

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-27745-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:17.09.2025

M/S K S PROPMART PVT LTD

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

SUDHIR KHOSLA AND OTHERS

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Himanshu Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in the present case, the petitioner instead of filing the objections under Section 34 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, has chosen to file the present petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India which is not maintainable and therefore, prays for withdrawal of the present petition.
2. Request of learned counsel for the petitioner is accepted.
3. The present petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

17.09.2025

rakesh

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No