

2025:PHHC:171854



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

107+220

**CRM-M-52426-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 09.12.2025**

VIKRAM

..PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB

..RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL

Present: Mr. P.S. Paul, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Parneet Singh Pandher, Asstt. AG, Punjab.

H.S. GREWAL, J.(ORAL)

CRM No.49054 of 2025

Application is allowed, as prayed for. Annexure P-4 is
taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

Main Case

1. This is a petition for regular bail filed under Section 483
of BNSS for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 39
dated 23.02.2025 under Sections 316(2), 318(4) of the Bharatiya
Nyaya Sanhita (BNS) 2023, Section 13 of the Punjab Travel
Professionals (Regulation) Act 2014 and Section 24 of the

**CRM-M-52426-2025 (O&M)****-2-**

Immigration Act 1983, registered at Police Station Dera Bassi, District SAS Nagar (Mohali), Punjab.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner had demanded Rs. 22 lacs for sending the brother of the complainant Randeep Singh to Canada. However, it is alleged that the petitioner sent him through the dunky route, as a result of which Randeep Singh died in Cambodia. Thereafter, an FIR was registered on the complaint of the brother of the deceased.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was merely a go-between for the main accused, namely one Umer Usman and that he had only booked the flight tickets and accepted the amount for that purpose. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the said main accused is stated to be on bail.

4. Learned State counsel vehemently opposes the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner. He has filed the custody certificate dated 08.12.2025 of the petitioner in the Court today and the same is taken on record. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for last 09 months and 10 days. The charges are yet to be framed and the trial is yet to commence.



CRM-M-52426-2025 (O&M)

-3-

5. I have heard the submissions made by the parties and gone through the record.

6. In view of the above, the petitioner is in custody for 09 months and 10 days, the continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice, therefore, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

7. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

8. It is clarified that if on bail so granted through the instant order, the petitioner is found indulging in any other criminal case it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.

December 09, 2025
Poonam Sharma

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No