



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

219

CRM-M-52155-2025
DECIDED ON: 09.12.2025

PARMINDER SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH.

Present: Mr. Bahul Bunger, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Vinay Malhotra, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J (ORAL)

1. Mr. Bahul Bunger, Advocate, has made appearance on behalf of the petitioner and filed Vakalatnama, which is taken on record.
2. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Parminder Singh, aged about 44 years	164	09.08.2025	109, 121, 132, 221, 307, 111, 61(2) of BNS	Sadar Khanna	Ludhiana

2. On 16.09.2025, following order was passed:-

“1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

<i>Name & age of Petitioner (s)</i>	<i>FIR No.</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Section(s)</i>	<i>Police Station</i>	<i>District</i>
<i>Parminder Singh, aged about 44 years</i>	<i>164</i>	<i>09.08.2025</i>	<i>109, 121, 132, 221, 307, 111, 61(2) of BNS</i>	<i>Khanna</i>	<i>Ludhiana</i>

2. *Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that there were two trucks present at the scene, one bearing registration No. PB-13BG-8891 and the other bearing No. JH-02AW-9091.*

It is submitted that an attempt was being made to steal the tyre of one of the trucks, during which a person was seen loosening the nuts. However, upon noticing the complainant, the said individual fled from the spot.

3. *It is further submitted that in the latter part of the FIR, it is stated that complainant subsequently came to know the identity of the person who had run away, namely, Parminder Singh (petitioner herein). Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that allegations of causing injuries to the complainant pertain to co-accused of the petitioner. Moreover, none of the injuries suffered by the complainant have been opined to be grievous in nature.*

Furthermore, counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner is ready to join the investigation and fully cooperate, if protected from arrest by this Court. Thus, counsel prays for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the present case.

4. *Notice of motion.*

5. *On advance notice, Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab, puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State, and seeks some time to file status report in the matter.*

6. *Adjourned to 09.12.2025.*

7. *Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating*



Agency. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

8. *Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.*

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court.”

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the order dated 16.09.2025, passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation, and has fully co-operated. Therefore, he prays for confirmation of the said interim anticipatory bail order.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Pargat Singh, confirms the said averment made by counsel for the petitioner of joining the investigation on 29.09.2025 by the petitioner, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 16.09.2025, passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

However, petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

8. However, present order would be subject to the submission of his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses, within a

period of one week from today. Otherwise he would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

It is clarified that in case, aforesaid condition is not complied with, this order would be considered as non est automatically.

09.12.2025
mahima

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>