

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

120

CR-6652-2024

Decided on: 24.03.2025

Navroop Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Palak Juneja

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. Jaswinder Singh Rana, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rohit Kumar, Advocate
for the respondent.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. The present Civil Revision Petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India for setting aside the order dated 16.09.2024 (Annexure P-5) passed by the Principal Judge, Family Court, Kurukshetra vide which the warrants of arrest against the petitioner have been issued.

2. On 18.12.2024, this Court had been pleased to pass the following order:-

*Present: Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.*

*Mr. Rohit Kumar, Advocate and
Mr. Pranav Juneja, Advocate
for the respondent.*

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in pursuance of the order dated 03.12.2024, the petitioner has got a demand draft for an amount of Rs.1 lac in the name of the respondent, which has been handed over to the counsel for the respondent and the same has been affirmed by the counsel for the respondent. It is further submitted that the petitioner is ready to finally settle the matter and prays that the matter be referred to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court.



Learned counsel for the respondent has submitted that there is a huge amount of arrears of maintenance which is yet to be paid by the petitioner to the respondent. He has further submitted that he has no objection in case one effort is made for settlement in the matter.

Accordingly, the matter is referred to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court.

Parties are directed to remain present before the said Forum on 09.01.2025.

Adjourned to 24.03.2025, for awaiting report of the Mediation and Conciliation Centre.

Till the next date of hearing, the arrest of the petitioner is stayed.

To be taken up in the urgent list.

18.12.2024”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned counsel for the respondent have jointly submitted that a detailed settlement agreement dated 18.03.2025 has been arrived at between the parties before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court and the said compromise has been entered into between the parties without any undue influence or pressure and both the parties have undertaken to be bound by the terms of the said compromise and in view of the same, the present petition be disposed of in terms of the said compromise.

3. Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances and the joint prayer made on behalf of learned counsel for the petitioner as well as counsel for the respondent, the present petition is disposed of in view of the compromise dated 18.03.2025.

4. Both the parties would be bound by the terms of the said compromise.

24.03.2025

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE