



CRM-M-54646-2024 (O&M)

-1-

**134+231 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-54646-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 18.11.2025****VEERU****...PETITIONER****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB****...RESPONDENT****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ**

Present: Mr. Neeraj Jain, Advocate for the applicant/petitioner.

Mr. Raj Karan Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Gagandeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Jaskirat Singh, Advocate for the complainant.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)**CRM-46503-2025**

The present application has been filed by the applicant/petitioner under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023 for placing on record the statements as Annexure P-4.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed. Annexure P-4 is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

Main case

1. Petitioner has approached by way of filing the present petition praying for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.112 dated 08.07.2024 under Sections 310(2) and 126(2) of BNSS, 2023 registered at Police Station Colony Bathinda, District Bathinda, Punjab.
2. Succinctly the facts of the case are that the FIR in the present case was lodged on the statement of complainant, namely, Lakhvir Singh. It was alleged that on 08.07.2024 at about 02:45 PM, he along with his friend Vicky Singh was

**CRM-M-54646-2024 (O&M)****-2-**

car bearing registration No.PB-03-BF-5086 came from behind and stopped them. Veeru S/o Mahinder Kumar (present petitioner) came out of the car, Nannu @ Gudo and Vishal alias Sundi, who were sitting with the petitioner in car also got down. In the meantime, 3-4 unknown young boys also came from behind on two motorcycles. They all surrounded the complainant and his friend. Veeru was armed with kapa and others had kirch in their hands. Veeru caught hold of the complainant and demanded his purse. On being threatened, he was robbed of Rs.9300/-. Thereafter, all of them escaped from there. The request was made to take legal action against the petitioner. FIR was got registered and investigation commenced. During the investigation, the complicity of the petitioner was surfaced and he was arrested on 15.07.2024. On completion of the investigation, the challan was presented, charges have been framed and trial has commenced. He approached the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Bathinda for grant of bail. However, after hearing both the sides and finding no merit in the same, the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Bathinda declined the bail application vide order dated 14.10.2024. Aggrieved by the same, petitioner is before this Court praying for the grant of bail by way of filing the present petition.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has vehemently contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that complainant has no occasion to be known to the petitioner but he was falsely named in the FIR. He further submits that complainant had been examined before the trial Court as PW-2 and he has not supported the case of the prosecution. He had specifically deposed that complainant did not identify Vishal @ Sundi, Veeru (present petitioner) and Nanak Chand. The accused never committed any crime.

Thus, complainant was declared hostile. He further submits that one the

**CRM-M-54646-2024 (O&M)****-3-**

submits that the petitioner is prosecuted in other cases, however, he is on bail in all these cases. He has submitted that in the facts and circumstances, the petitioner deserves to be granted regular bail.

4. *Per contra*, learned State counsel has opposed the submissions made by counsel for the petitioner. He has submitted that the petitioner is a habitual offender who was specifically named in the FIR. He however submits that he does not controvert the fact that the complainant has been examined and has not supported the case of the prosecution. He has produced custody certificate of the petitioner today in the Court and the same is taken on record.

5. Learned counsel for the complainant has affirmed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

6. Heard.

7. On hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is deciphered that the petitioner was arrested on 15.07.2024. The complainant had been examined but he has not supported the prosecution version. The deposition of the complainant has been placed on record. Custody certificate produced would show that the petitioner has completed incarceration of 01 year 04 months and 02 days as on 17.11.2025. He is involved in two other cases and he is on bail in those cases.

8. The veracity of the allegations would be assessed only after the conclusion of the trial and on the appreciation of evidence to be led by both the parties before the trial Court. The trial of the case will take sufficiently long time.

9. Thus, keeping in view the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is of the opinion that learned counsel for the petitioner succeeds in making out a case for grant of regular bail. Accordingly, the present petition is

allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail/surety



CRM-M-54646-2024 (O&M)

-4-

bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate. Nothing said herein shall be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

10. In case the bail bonds are not furnished by the petitioner during the period of 07 days from today, then his further custody period after one week will not be counted in the present case.

18.11.2025
renubala

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No