



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

105

CRM-M-52172-2025

Date of Decision : 16.09.2025

JAGRAJ SINGH AND ANOTHER

.... PETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB

.... RESPONDENT

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present : Mr.Dinesh Kumar, Advocate and
Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Subhash Godara, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short, 'BNSS, 2023) seeking grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No. 47 dated 28.07.2025 under Sections 118(1), 115(2), 351(2), 193(3), 190 of BNS, 2023 (Section 117(2) of BNS added lateron) registered at Police Station Dharamgarh, District Sangrur.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contended that no specific role in the FIR has been attributed to the petitioners as only simple injury is alleged to be caused with sharp edged weapon/sword on the left leg and bicep of right arm of injured Satnam Singh and grievous injuries are not caused by them. Learned counsel further submits that except the present case, they are not involved in any criminal activity and except offence under



Section 118(1) BNS, all other offences are bailable in nature. The petitioners are ready and willing to join the investigation.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel appearing on advance notice, opposed the grant of bail to the petitioners and contended that the petitioners attacked the complainant with deadly weapon and caused grievous injuries.

4. Learned State counsel stated that in the present case four other co-accused have been granted concession of bail.

5. Heard.

6. Keeping in view the contentions made by learned counsel for the parties, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioners to join the investigation as and when required by the Investigating Officer and as the offences in the present case are punishable upto 07 years so, the Investigating Officer is directed to follow the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Arnesh Kumar vs. State of Bihar and Another (2014), 8 SCC 273* and *Satender Kumar Antil v. CBI, (2022) 10 SCC 51*.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

16.09.2025

anju

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No