

114 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-52771-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 23-09-2025

Chandi Ram
State of Punjab And Others

Versus

.....Petitioner(s)
.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Akun Sheemar, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

 Mr. Akshay Kumar, AAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (Oral)

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
66	19.03.2025	Phillaur, District Jalandhar Rural	143, 318(4) BNS

1. Seeking a direction to the police authorities to investigate the FIR captioned above in a fair and impartial manner, the petitioner has come up before this Court by filing the present petition under Section 528 BNSS, 2023.
2. At the outset, counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be contended and satisfied if respondent no.2-SSP, Jalandhar (Rural) is directed to decide the representation (Annexure P-8) made before him in a time bound manner.
3. Notice served upon the official respondent(s) through State’s counsel. The nature of order this Court proposes to pass, no response of the official respondent(s) is required.
4. Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of, with a direction to respondent no.2-SSP, Jalandhar (Rural) to decide the representation (Annexure P-8) submitted by the petitioner, either himself/herself or by delegating the same to any officer not below the rank of IPS, by passing a speaking order, within two months, in accordance with law. The order so passed be communicated to the petitioner as well as to his counsel on his email ID as well.

Petition is allowed to the extent mentioned above. The petitioner shall be at liberty to approach this Court against the order so passed, if required. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

23-09-2025
AK

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking
Whether reportable: YES/NO