



CWP-27590-2025 (O&M)

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

111

CWP-27590-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 25.09.2025

Lovely

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Dr. Pankaj Nanhera, Advocate and
Mr. Paramvir Singh Doon, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Ashok Kumar Khubbar,
Additional Advocate General, Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. On 16.09.2025, this Court passed the following order: -

“1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of show cause notice dated 05.09.2025 whereby respondent has proposed to discharge her from service and recover salary on the ground that she had fraudulently claimed benefit under Socio-Economic Criteria.

2. The petitioner joined Haryana Police Force as Sub-Inspector in 2019. She lodged FIR No.548 dated 08.09.2021 under Sections 354, 376 & 511 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short ‘IPC’) and Section 3 of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 against her colleague ASI Satish for sexual assault. In retaliation, an FIR No.398 dated 19.07.2022 under Sections 385 and 420 of IPC at Police Station Sadar, Jind was registered against her and her family members at the behest of brother of ASI Satish. Matter was enquired by Inspector General of Police, Hisar and Additional Director General of Police, Hisar. They recommended cancellation of FIR. ASI Satish came to be acquitted in FIR No.548 dated 08.09.2021. Though trial Court acquitted him in aforesaid FIR yet observed that it was



a classic case of botched investigation. The Court ordered to put up the matter before the Director General of Police, Haryana for taking action against investigating officer Dr. Davinder, Deputy Superintendent of Police. One relative of ASI Satish lodged a complaint on CM Window alleging that the petitioner had wrongly availed 5 marks under Socio-Economic Criteria. The respondent after the expiry of 06 years from the date of appointment has issued show cause notice to the petitioner calling upon to show cause as to why she should not be discharged from the department and why salary should not be recovered.

3. *Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks time to place on record advertisement against which the petitioner was selected.*

4. *Adjourned to 25.09.2025.”*

- 2. Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present petition with liberty to avail remedies as permissible by law
- 3. Dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.
- 4. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

25.09.2025
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No