



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

123

CRWP-10029-2025

Date of decision : 12.09.2025

MANDEEP KUMAR AND ANOHTER

..... PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA PARTAP SINGH

Present : Mr. Sarvesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SURYA PARTAP SINGH. J.(Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed by the petitioners for directions to the respondents No.1 to 3 to protect their life and liberty from the respondents No.4 to 7.

2. The learned counsel representing the petitioners submits that the petitioners have filed a representation dated 11.09.2025 (Annexure P-5) before respondent No.2. The learned counsel prays for directions to the police authorities to decide the abovementioned representation within a stipulated time.

3. Notice of motion to the respondents No.1 to 3 only.

4. Since advance notice has already been served upon the State, Mr. Eklavya Darshi, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State, and waives service.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that a direction for disposing of the representation of the petitioners (Annexure P-5) be given to respondents No.2 and that the instant writ petition be disposed of accordingly.

6. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the present writ petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar to pass a speaking order and decide the representation of the petitioners (Annexure P-5) within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of copy of instant order.

7. It is further directed that the petitioners shall be provided requisite safety and security to protect their life and liberty, if required. It is however clarified that any observation is not being made by this Court with regard to threat perception to the petitioners and validity of their marriage. The police authority of Jalandhar shall be at liberty to make an independent assessment of the threat perception to the petitioners, and take a decision on the issue of providing security to them.

8. All other pending applications, if any, are also disposed off, accordingly.

(SURYA PARTAP SINGH)
JUDGE

12.09.2025

vipin

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether Reportable	:	No