

2025:PHHC:097087



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

233

CWP-26072-2023 (O & M)
Date of decision: 31.07.2025

Jaspal Handa

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Rajiv Atma Ram, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Brijesh Khosla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned Senior Counsel would submit that in the review, factum of the petitioner having been imposed the punishment of stoppage of one increment could not have been taken into consideration, as it was not part of the charge-sheet, while in the FIR, he vide judgment dated 16.01.2024, Annexure P-27, stands acquitted. In wake of the above, he prays for re-consideration of the matter taking into consideration the aforesaid submissions, in a time bound manner.

2. The aforesaid facts having been brought out, during the course of hearing, learned State counsel, on instructions, states that the authority which decided the review would not be averse to having a relook at the matter and decide afresh by passing a speaking order, taking note of the afore-submissions, after granting opportunity of hearing to the

petitioner, within a period of 4 months, uninfluenced by the order dated 30.10.2023, Annexure P-20, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner.

3. The aforesaid satisfies the learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner.

4. Disposed of accordingly.

31.07.2025

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No