



CWP-26740-2023

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(217)

CWP-26740-2023

Date of Decision : 15.09.2025

Bansi Lal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate
Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vishal Munjal, Advocate and
Ms. Bindu Bala, Advocate for respondent No.4.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(ORAL)

1. The petitioner, a senior citizen, fetching grievance from an order dated 09.03.2023 (Annexure P-3), wherethrough, his application under Section 22 (2) of the Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizen Act, 2007 (hereinafter to be referred as 'the Act of 2007'), has been dismissed by the learned Sub Divisional Magistrate, Pathankot (respondent No.3). Even, the appeal preferred by the appellant/petitioner, challenging the order dated 09.03.2023 (Annexure P-3), was also dismissed by the learned District Magistrate-cum-Appellant Maintenance Tribunal, Pathankot (respondent No.2), vide order dated 31.08.2023 (Annexure P-6), and therefore, the instant writ petition has been filed before this Court, under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, apprises this Court, that a Civil Suit between the parties concerned, is pending before the



learned Civil Court, and a compromise has been effected between them, and the same has also been recorded in the order dated 24.03.2025. The relevant is extracted hereinafter :-

*“File put up today on an application for taking up the file and permission to withdraw the present case. Defendant Ajay Kumar appeared in person and suffered a separate statement to the effect that he undertakes not to sell the property which he has got from his father Bansi Lal and also undertook to abide the terms and conditions of compromise dated 20.03.2025, copy of which is Annexure-A. Plaintiff Bansi Lal along with counsel also suffered a separate statement to the effect that he has heard the statement of his son i.e. defendant No.1 Ajay Kumar and also undertook to abide the terms and conditions of compromise dated 20.03.2025. As such, the present suit may kindly be dismissed as withdrawn. Heard. In view of the statement suffered by plaintiff along with his counsel, the present suit stands **dismissed as withdrawn**. Parties shall remain bound by terms and conditions of compromise dated 20.03.2025 Annexure-A executed between them. File be consigned to the Judicial Record Room, Pathankot.”*

3. In view of the above compromise, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not wish to press the instant petition, and therefore, seeks permission to withdraw the same.

4. Consequently, the instant writ petition is **dismissed as withdrawn**, being not pressed.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

September 15, 2025

Manpreet	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
	Whether reportable	:	Yes/No