



110+232

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-52061-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.09.2025**

KARTAR SINGH ALIAS CHUINU

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Mr. Pragyat Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, DAG, Haryana.

Ms. Rashi Verma, Advocate for
Mr. Yaseen Sethi, Advocate
for the complainant.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR, J. (Oral)

CRM-38269-2025

The applicant-petitioner through instant application under Section 151 CPC is seeking permission to place on record compromise deed dated 16.09.2025 (Annexure A-1).

Allowed as prayed for.

Compromise deed dated 16.09.2025 (Annexure A-1) is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.

CRM-M-52061-2025



1. This is the first application under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.211, dated 05.08.2024, registered at Police Station Sector 53, District Gurugram, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC, 1860.

2. Status report by way of affidavit dated 27.09.2025 of Yashwant HPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Sadar, Gurugram, Haryana filed on behalf of the respondent-State is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for the complainant have been heard and material collected by the police during investigation has been perused.

4. The dispute in the present case pertains to sale of one car which was already on loan and no NOC was obtained from the bank before selling the same to the complainant allegedly on the basis of some fake NOC by accused No.1-Ashwin.

5. Now, the matter has been resolved amicably between the parties and the compromise deed has been placed on record as Annexure A-1 and learned counsel for the complainant has also appeared and admitted the factum of compromise. As per the compromise executed between the parties, a sum of Rs.40 lakh has been paid to the complainant and vehicle has been handed over to the petitioner. The offence in question is a Magisterial trial. Petitioner is in custody since 16.07.2025 and matter has been compromised amicably. The investigation and trial is likely to take sufficiently long time to conclude and in these circumstances, further detention of the petitioner is not required and he



deserves to be released on bail.

6. Having regard to the aforesaid factual position, but without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the bail application is allowed and petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, on usual terms and conditions.

7. Pending misc. application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

29.09.2025
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No