



289

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR-237-2023 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 13.05.2025

Maya Jakhar

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

KC Kajal & Ors

... Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ALKA SARIN

Present : Mr. Dinesh Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate for respondent Nos.1 to 3.

Service of respondent Nos.4 to 10 dispensed with
vide order dated 20.02.2023.

ALKA SARIN, J. (Oral)

1. The present revision petition has been filed under Article 227 of the Constitution of India challenging the order dated 20.08.2022 (Annexure P-1) whereby the application filed by the plaintiff-respondent Nos.1 to 3 under Order 6 Rule 17 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 for amendment of the plaint has been allowed.

2. The only argument raised by the learned counsel for the defendant-petitioner is that the amended plaint filed by the plaintiff-respondent Nos.1 to 3 is not in consonance with the amendment application which has been allowed by the Trial Court vide the order dated 20.08.2022 and a totally different plaint has been filed.

3. *Per contra* the learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 to 3, on instructions, states that the said amended plaint was inadvertently filed and the same was not signed and was returned by the Trial Court. Learned

counsel undertakes to file a fresh amended plaint in consonance with the amendment application which has been allowed by the Trial Court vide the order dated 20.08.2022.

4. In view of the above, the present revision petition is disposed off with a direction that the plaintiff-respondent Nos.1 to 3 shall file a fresh amended plaint which shall be in consonance with the amendments which have been sought in the application and allowed by the Trial Court vide the order dated 20.08.2022.

5. Disposed off accordingly. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed off.

13.05.2025
Yogesh Sharma

(ALKA SARIN)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking/non-speaking: Speaking
Whether reportable: YES/NO