



209 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-52048-2025
Date of Decision: 12.11.2025

KULWINDER KAUR

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Mr. A.S. Grewal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gorav Kathuria, DAG, Punjab
assisted by ASI Jaswinder Singh.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.133, dated 10.08.2025, under Sections 118(1), 115(2), 191(3), 190, 117(2), 118(2) of BNS registered at Police Station Nathana, District Bathinda.
2. Status report by way of affidavit dated 11.11.2025 of Rashpal Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division (Bhuchio), District Bathinda filed on behalf of the respondent-State is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.

3. On 16.09.2025, the following order was passed:-

“1. Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.133, dated 10.08.2025, under Sections 118(1), 115(2), 191(3), 190, 117(2), 118(2) of BNS registered at Police Station Nathana, District Bathinda (Annexure P-1),
2. Notice of motion.
3. Mr. G.S. Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.



4. The present case has been registered on the basis of statement given to the Police by Kesar Singh with the allegations that on 08.08.2025, he along with his brother-in-law Nirmal Singh was going to Chak Bakhtu at about 7:00 pm on their motorcycle. When they reached near water works, Kulwinder Kaur (present petitioner) signalled them to stop and asked them to drop her at Bhucho Mandi, but they refused. Thereafter, she gave a signal on which Shanty armed with Kirpan, Lathi Singh armed with iron Kappa, Bagga Singh armed with iron Kirpan, and Veera Kaur came towards them. Said Veera Kaur raised a lalkara that earlier they had quarreled with them and they should be taught a lesson today. Thereafter, Shanty attacked him with Kirpan and he received a blow on his head. Then Bagga Singh attached him near his right eye with kirpan. When his brother-in-law Nirmal Singh came to his rescue, Shanty gave a Kirpan blow on his head and Lathi Singh gave iron kappa blow on his left arm. Bagga Singh gave a kirpan blow on the left arm of Nirmal Singh and thereafter, they fell down, Shanty Singh attacked Nirmal Singh with kirpan on his right hand. Thereafter, all the accused inflicted more injuries to them, while they were lying on the ground. When they cried for help, all the assailants ran away from the spot and after some time, their relative Parshotam Singh and his wife Manpreet Kaur reached there and took them to hospital for treatment.


5. Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The entire family has been roped in. No injury is attributed to the petitioner and the only allegation against the petitioner is that she had signalled the victims to stop while they were going on the motorcycle. As such, nothing is to be recovered from her possession. Petitioner is ready to join the investigation and to abide by the conditions that may be imposed by the Court and benefit of anticipatory bail be extended to the petitioner.

6. On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the bail and sought for its dismissal on account of gravity of the offence.

7. Adjourned to **12.11.2025** for filing status report. Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and in the event of her arrest, she shall be admitted to ad-interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS [erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.]:-

i) that the petitioner shall make herself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;



iii) that the petitioner shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court;
iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of Section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.

4. Today, learned State counsel has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 16.09.2025 and is no longer required for further investigation.
5. In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 16.09.2025, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.
6. Disposed of.
7. Pending misc application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

12.11.2025
Priyanka Thakur

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No