



-1-

138

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-27575-2025

Date of decision:-16.09.2025

Tajinder Kaur and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present : Mr. Rahul Singla, Advocate
for the petitioners.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

1. Instant petition has been filed, *inter alia*, for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents to pay compensation to petitioners on account of death of Baljeet Singh due to electrocution.

2. Counsel submits that on 04.11.2023, Baljeet Singh was



-2-

138

unloading sand from a dumper and came in contact with a loose 11000 Volts electricity line. Counsel submits that on account of the electric current, dumper was burnt and Baljeet Singh died due to electrocution. He states that DDR, Annexure P1, was recorded on the very next date. Counsel asserts that Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. (UHBVNL) has framed a policy dated 08.07.2019, Annexure P3, for grant of compensation in cases of fatal and non-fatal accidents due to electrocution and petitioner submitted an undated representation, Annexure P4, which is pending. Counsel has made a reference to communications, Annexures P5 and P6, received from UHBVNL to state that the representation is being shuttled from one office to another.

3. Issue notice of motion to the respondents.

4. On asking of Court, Mr. Ravish Kaushik, Addl. A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 and Mr. Deepak Vashisth, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.2 to 7.

5. Given the nature of order proposed to be passed, this Court does not deem it necessary to call response from the respondents.

6. A perusal of the averments made in the writ petition show that representation, Annexure P4, submitted by the petitioner is pending consideration at the hands of the respondents. In view thereof, without examining the claim on merits, petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority to decide the representation, Annexure P4,



-3-

138

within a period of four months from the date of communication of copy of this Court.

7. It is clarified that in case the competent authority comes to the conclusion that the representation does not deserve to be accepted, it shall pass a detailed order assigning reasons for rejection.

16.09.2025
Brij

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No