



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

105

CRM-M-55325-2024
Date of decision: 27.11.2025

HARJINDER SINGHPetitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANARespondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: - Mr. Dheeraj Narula, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Dr. (Ms.) Malvika Singh, DAG, Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The instant second petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No. 1125 dated 22.12.2022, registered under Section(s) 302 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station City Sirsa, District Sirsa.

2. As per the allegations levelled in the FIR, the petitioner is stated to have forcibly administer poison to deceased-Gurdeep Kaur. As per the pathology report, poison was detected in the Stomach as well as in the visra as collected by the investigating agency.

3. Counsel for the petitioner contends that the parties were married for 09 years and that there are no marks of external injury. He

further submits that only 02 out of 14 witnesses have been examined so far.

4. It is, however, not disputed by him that he is the single accused in the present case and the deceased had specifically recorded about the details of the incident wherein she levelled that her husband had forcibly administered poison to her which such allegations stands corroborated by the medical evidence.

5. At this stage, Counsel for the petitioner contends that he would not press the present petition, in case the trial Court is directed to expeditiously conclude the proceedings.

6. I find that the aforesaid prayer can be adverted to. Hence, without commenting on the merits of the case, lest may cause prejudice to the petitioner, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to the trial Court to take expeditious steps to conclude the prosecution evidence, preferably within a period of six months from the date next fixed before the trial Court for recording of the prosecution evidence. In the event, the prosecution evidence is not concluded within the aforesaid period, the petitioner shall be at liberty to move an appropriate petition for grant of regular bail.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)

JUDGE

NOVEMBER 27, 2025

Vishal Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No