

CRM-M-51893-2025 & 1
connected cases.

2025.PHHC.166907



236+238+239+242+243

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-51893-2025
Date of Decision: 01.12.2025

AZAD SINGH Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA Respondent

2) CRM-M-56481-2025

CHANDAN ALIAS ARYANPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANARespondent

3) CRM-M-57065-2025

ANKITPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANARespondent

4) CRM-M-60889-2025

ANKUSHPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANARespondent

5) CRM-M-62431-2025

PRINCE ALIAS MOTIAPetitioner

**CRM-M-51893-2025 &
connected cases.**

2

2025.PHHC.166907



Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Mr. J.S. Saneta, Advocate with
Mr. Nitish Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner
in CRM-M-51893-2025.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner
in CRM-M-56481-2025.

Mr. Naveen Kashyap, Advocate
for the petitioner
in CRM-M-57065-2025.

Mr. Rahul Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner
in CRM-M-60889-2025.

Mr. Sachin Kamboj, Advocate
for the petitioner
in CRM-M-62431-2025.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR, J. (Oral)

1. By this common order, above noted bail applications shall be adjudicated as the same have arisen out of the same FIR.

2. This is the first application under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.221, dated 20.04.2025, registered at Police Station Indri, District Karnal, Haryana under Sections 110, 115, 126, 190, 191(3), 351(3) and 61 of BNS, 2023 and Section 117(2) of BNS, 2023 added later on.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel have been heard and material collected by the police during



investigation has been perused.

4. The present case was registered on the basis of the statement given to the police by Mahinder Singh son of Purna Chand with the allegations that on 19.04.2025, he along with his son namely Lavish and driver Kuldeep had gone to village Bir Majri on his tractor and reaper machine. At about 7.00–8.00 p.m., he was working in the fields of Omi Lal and about 15–20 persons came there on 4–5 motorcycles, who were armed with wooden dandas and they launched an attack upon him and his son Lavish and inflicted injuries to both of them. The leg of his son Lavish got fractured and he suffered a fracture in his shoulder. When they saw the villagers arriving at the spot, they ran away from the spot but left behind one motorcycle bearing registration No. HR-75-A-0547. He inquired about those boys from Lavish and he told that for the last few days, he was receiving threatening calls from Akrish son of Baldev and his friend Prince, who were abusing him and threatening to kill him and he sought action against him. During investigation, Azad Singh was arrested on 20.04.2025. Chandan was arrested on 11.05.2025, Ankit was arrested on 28.04.2025, Prince was arrested on 28.04.2025 and Ankush was arrested on 05.08.2025. In all, 10 accused were arrested, out of whom one was found to be juvenile. After completion of the investigation, final report has been presented in the Court for trial.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) contended that petitioners have not been named in the FIR except petitioner-Prince. No test identification parade of the petitioners has been got conducted. Learned counsel further contended that one of the accused namely Parvej Khan, who was also not named in the FIR, has been released on



anticipatory bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 09.09.2025. Learned counsel lastly contended that no offence under Section 110 of BNS, 2023 is made out as no injury has been suffered on any vital part. Petitioner-Azad Sing was arrested on 20.04.2025. Petitioner- Chandan was arrested on 11.05.2025. Petitioner- Ankit was arrested on 28.04.2025. Petitioner-Prince was arrested on 28.04.2025 and Petitioner- Ankush was arrested on 05.08.2025. Challan has been presented in the Court for trial, after completion of investigation. The trial is likely to take a sufficiently long time to conclude and in these circumstances, further detention of the petitioners is not required and they deserve to be released on bail.

6. On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the bail and argued that petitioners have committed a heinous offence and in view of the gravity of the offence, they do not deserve the concession of bail.

7. One of the injured namely Lavish has suffered injury in his leg and the complainant has suffered injury on his shoulder. No injury has been suffered on the head, which is a vital part. As such, it will be a debatable question during the trial as to whether the offence under Section 110 of BNS, 2023 is attracted or not. One of the accused namely Parvej Khan, who was also not named in the FIR, has been released on anticipatory bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 09.09.2025. Challan has been presented in the Court for trial, after completion of investigation. The trial is likely to take a sufficiently long time to conclude and in these circumstances, further detention of the petitioners is not required and they deserve to be released on bail.



8. Having regard to the aforesaid factual position, but without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the bail application is allowed and petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, on usual terms and conditions.
9. A photocopy of this order be placed on the connected case files.

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

01.12.2025
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No