

212 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2025.PHHC.181007



CRM-M-52207-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 19.11.2025

KULDEEP SINGH

... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

... RESPONDENT

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Aman Pal, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Sweta Beniwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rakesh Kumar Jangra, A.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate for the complainant.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), 2023 in FIR No.46 dated 20.01.2023, under Sections 201, 302, 34 of IPC registered at Police Station Kharkhoda, District Sonapat.

2. Reply by way of affidavit of Rajdeep Singh, HPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Kharkhoda, District Sonipat and custody certificate dated 18.11.2025 have been taken on record.

3. The case of the prosecution is that a blind murder has taken place and the present petitioner has been named by the complainant that the deceased has been murdered by the present petitioner and the deceased was last seen in the company of the present petitioner. Apart from that no recovery has been effected from the present petitioner. Out of 28 prosecution witnesses, 24 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far.

4. Learned State counsel vehemently opposes the prayer for grant

of regular bail to the petitioner on the ground of gravity of allegations. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner is in custody for 02 years, 09 months and 27 days as on 18.11.2025.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. Keeping in view the fact that out of 28 prosecution witnesses, 24 prosecution witnesses have already been examined and no recovery has been effected from the present petitioner, the petitioner has undergone actual custody for 02 years, 09 months and 27 days, the conclusion of trial is likely to take some more time and continuous detention of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

7. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bonds, surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

19.11.2025

Janki

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No