

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

110

RSA-227-2019 (O & M)
Date of Decision:13.01.2025

JATTAN PAL AND ORS

...APPELLANTS

VERSUS

RATTAN LAL AND ORS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: None for the appellants.


SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

1. Despite a pass-over, there is no representation on behalf of the appellants.

2. On 11.11.2024, this Court passed the following order:-

“Vide order dated 26.07.2023, counsel for the appellant has submitted that appellant No.1-Jattan Pal has expired and therefore, he sought time to implead his legal representative. However, despite passage of more than one year and repeated opportunities were being given to him, needful has not been done.

Vide order dated 23.07.2024, this Court specifically granted last opportunity to comply with the order dated 26.07.2023 within four weeks but the same has not been complied till date. Today again request for adjournment has been made but counsel for the appellants fairly submits that despite best efforts he does not have the details of LRs of appellant No.1.



Perusal of memo of parties demonstrate that appellants No.2 to 5 are none other than the real brothers of appellant No.1 and it is highly improbable that they would not be knowing about the legal heirs of appellant No.1. Learned counsel for the appellants yet again prayed for one more opportunity and has stated that in case he fails to comply with the order dated 26.07.2023, he shall not press the said application. However, considering that the last opportunity had already been granted vide order dated 23.07.2024, therefore, in the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted to the appellant subject to cost of ₹5,000/- to be deposited in Poor Patients Welfare Fund of PGIMER, Chandigarh.

Adjourned to 16.12.2024.”

3. There is nothing on the record to show that the cost has been deposited or that the appellants have complied with the above reproduced order. It seems that the appellants are not interested in pursuing the appeal.
4. Dismissed for non-prosecution. However, liberty is granted to the appellants to seek revival of the appeal, upon complying with the above reproduced order passed by this Court.
5. Pending applications are disposed of.

13.01.2025
sheetal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No