



CWP-PIL-263-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-PIL-263-2025
Date of decision: 17.09.2025**

AMARDEEP GUJRAL**...PETITIONER****Versus****STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS****...RESPONDENTS**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY**

Present: Mr. Puneet Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Salil Sabhlok, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Inder Preet Singh, Advocate for respondents No.3 and 4.

* * * *

SHEEL NAGU, C.J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed as a PIL raising an alleged public cause that the eligibility criteria, screening methodology, written test, and evaluation of marks qua the advertisement dated 23.02.2025 (Annexure P-1) for filling up 19 vacancies in the cadre of Technical Assistants, Group-C, in various departments of IK Gujral, Punjab Technical University, Kapurthala, are not in public interest.

2. The petitioner is a stranger to the recruitment process since he is neither eligible nor competent to challenge the recruitment process in question.

3. It is settled law, as held by the Apex Court in '*Dr. Duryodhan Sahu Versus Jitendra Kumar Mishra, 1998 (7) SCC 273; Dattaraj Nathuji*



CWP-PIL-263-2025

2

Thaware Versus State of Maharashtra, 2005 (1) SCC 590; Hari Bansh Lal Versus Sahodar Prasad Mahto and others, 2010 (9) SCC 655 and Vishal Ashok Thorat and others Versus Rajesh Shrirambapu Fate and others, 2020 (18) SCC 673’, that service disputes cannot be raised by way of PIL.

4. In view of above, this Court declines interference and dismisses the present petition.

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

17.09.2025
kanika

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No