IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

134

CRWP-9961-2025
Decided on : 11.09.2025

Arshdeep Kaur and another . . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others . . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Nishant, Advocate, for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

****

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the instant petition, filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of direction to the official respondents to provide protection of lives and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of the private respondents.

The details, relevant for decision of the present petition, are tabulated as under:-

	Petitioner No. 1	Petitioner No. 2
Name(s)	Arshdeep Kaur	Sukhdev Singh
Age (in years)	About 19 years	About 33 years
Date of marriage	08.09.2025	
Rite	Sikh rites	
Represented on	09.09.2025	
Submitted to	Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar Rural	
Family members arrayed as	Respondents No.4 to 6	

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have solemnized marriage against the wishes of their family members, who are threatening and interfering in the matrimonial life of the petitioners. It is the first marriage of both the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 09.09.2025 (Annexure P-5), to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police,

JAWALA RAM
2025.09.11 19:34
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

Jalandhar Rural, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

4. On asking of the Court, learned State counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent – State. Let requisite copies of the complete paper book be supplied to learned State counsel during course of the day.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar Rural, to look into the representation dated 09.09.2025 (Annexure P-5), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

6. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

7. It is made clear that before taking any decision upon the representation dated 09.09.2025 (Annexure P-5), the concerned authority/officer shall first verify and confirm that petitioner No.2 – Sukhdev Singh, aged 33 years, is not already married, keeping in view that petitioner No.1 – Arshdeep Kaur, is of the age of 19 years.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

September 11, 2025
J.Ram

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No

JAWALA RAM
2025.09.11 19:34
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document