



CRM-M-51860-2025

- 1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

224

CRM-M-51860-2025

Date of decision: 27.10.2025

MANNAN

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Mr. Farukh Abdullah, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K. Ambavta, DAG, Haryana assisted by SI Rajbir Singh.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR. J.(Oral)

1. Present petition under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 has been filed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.411 dated 03.12.2022, under Sections 395, 365 (Sections 397, 201, 420, 412, 120-B of IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Kasola, Rewari.
2. Status report dated 15.10.2025 by way of affidavit of Surender Sheoran, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Bawal, District Rewari has been filed on behalf of respondent-State and the same is taken on record.
3. The present case was registered on the basis of statement given to the police by Arvind Singh with the allegations that he is employed with SIPL company and drives a Hiva. On 03.12.2022, he was driving Hiva bearing No.HR-55-AL-0578 loaded with bitumen and was transporting the same to Pataudi. At about 1:30 am, when he reached the crossing of the border of Bambad Fatehpuri, one white coloured vehicle intercepted him. Four men came out of the said vehicle, out of whom three were armed with pistols and one person was armed with a stick. They blindfolded him and put him in their car and thereafter, they

**CRM-M-51860-2025**

- 2-

snatched his Hiva and ran away from the spot alongwith his mobile phone. During investigation, co-accused Sharukh was arrested, who nominated the present petitioner as one of the accused. Co-accused Rashid, Ajruddin and Saddam were also arrested and challan was presented against them. Present petitioner was arrested on 19.04.2025 and he got recovered a sum of Rs.2300/- in pursuance to his disclosure statement and after completion of investigation, final report has been presented against him on 10.07.2025.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The offence in question was committed by unknown persons. The physical features, age, complexion and built etc. of the assailants have not been mentioned in the FIR. The occurrence took place during the night at 1:30 am. No test identification parade of the petitioner has been got conducted after his arrest. Petitioner has been nominated as an accused in the disclosure statement suffered by main accused namely Sharukh and complainant has even failed to identify Sharukh to be one of the snatchers during the trial. Petitioner is in custody since 19.04.2025. Trial will take sufficiently long time to conclude and further detention of the petitioner is not required and he may be released on bail.

6. On the other hand, learned State Counsel has opposed the bail and argued that in view of the gravity of the offence, petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail.

7. Offence in question was committed by unknown persons. Petitioner has been nominated as an accused by the main accused namely Sharukh but during



CRM-M-51860-2025

- 3-

trial, complainant has not identified accused Sharukh to be one of the snatchers. As to how much evidentiary value will be attached to the disclosure statement of co-accused and that of petitioner himself shall be subject matter of trial. Petitioner is in custody since 19.04.2025. Stolen property has not been recovered from any of the accused till date. Investigation *qua* petitioner also stands completed. Trial is likely to take a long time to conclude and further detention of the petitioner is not required and he deserves to be released on bail.

8. Having regard to the aforesaid factual position, but without commenting anything upon the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Trial Court concerned, on usual terms and conditions.

27.10.2025
amandeep

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No