



CRWP No. 9950 of 2025

109

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No. 9950 of 2025
Date of Decision: 12.09.2025

Apsina

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. M.D. Khan, Advocate with
Ms. Shivani Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shaveta Sanghi, D.A.G., Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

1. Seeking issuance of appropriate directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of respondents No.4 and 5, the petitioner has come up before this Court under Article 226/227 of Constitution of India.
2. Counsel for the petitioner confines his prayer to the extent of deciding the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-2) in a time bound manner.
3. Notice served upon the official respondent through State counsel. The nature of order which this Court proposes to pass, there is no necessity of calling any response from the State.
4. State counsel submits that they would take a decision on the same within a period of three months.
5. In view of prayer made by counsel for the petitioner, respondent No.2 is directed to decide representation dated 30.08.2025 (Annexure P-2) himself/herself or by delegating the same to any officer not below the rank of HPS, within a period of two months by passing a speaking and reasoned order and such order be also communicated to the petitioner as well as her counsel on the e-mail ID provided to State counsel during the course of day.



CRWP No. 9950 of 2025

6. There would be no need for a certified copy of this order, and any Advocate for the Petitioner and State can download this order and other relevant particulars from the official web page of this court and attest it to be a true copy.

7. Petition is allowed with the observations mentioned above. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

12.09.2025
Jyoti Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No.