

2025.PHHC.132645



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

276

**CRWP-9934-2025
Decided on:23.09.2025**

Samsu

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

114

**CRWP-10142-2025
Decided on:23.09.2025**

Sajid

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bhardwaj

Present: Mr. Intizar-Ul-Hasan, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRWP-9934-2025.

Mr. Mazlish Khan, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CRWP-10142-2025.

Ms. Diya Sodhi, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (Oral)

1. Vide this common order, two petitions bearing CRWP Nos.9934 & 10142 of 2025 are being disposed of as the petitioner(s) in both the petitions, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, have prayed for issuance of directions to the official respondents to get released the *detenue*, namely, Kheruna (minor). However, in CRWP-10142-2025, petitioner Sajid alleged that the *detenue* Kheruna is in illegal custody of respondent no.4-Deepalya Children Home in Ghusbethi, Tehsil Tauru, District Nuh and prayed that after her release, custody of the *detenue* may be handed over to him as per her statement.

2025.PHHC.132645



2. On 16.09.2025, while hearing CRWP-9934-2025, this Court directed the State to produce the *detenue* before this Court on the next date fixed, i.e. 18.09.2025. On 18.09.2025, *detenue* Kheruna (minor) was produced in the Court and this Court interacted with her. She made a statement before this Court that she is 17 years of age and had performed *Nikaah* with Sajid (petitioner in CRWP-10142-2025) on 06.09.2025 but presently she is staying in Deepalaya Children Home, Gusbethi (Nuh) and will remain there till she attains the age of majority, however, she declined to meet her parents and brother, who were present in the Court on that day and also to the other family members. After interaction with the *detenue* and making her aforesaid statement, this Court directed the Investigating Officer concerned to take the *detenue* back in the Deepalaya Children Home, Gusbethi (Nuh) and to ensure her safe stay there.

3. No other argument has been raised by the learned counsel for the petitioner(s).

4. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances especially in view of the statement made by the *detenue* in the Court, both the petitions have become infructuous and are disposed of as such.

4. A copy of this order be placed on the file of another connected case.

September 23, 2025
vinod*

(Rajesh Bhardwaj)
Judge

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: NO/YES
Whether Reportable: NO/YES