



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

203

CRA-S-2855-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 26.11.2025

Ajay Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Ms. Sunita Devi, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Gautam Kaile, DAG Haryana

Mr. Kuldeep Singh Siwach, Advocate for respondent No.2

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present appeal has been preferred against impugned order dated 28.08.2025 passed by Additional Sessions Judge, Fatehabad, vide which his anticipatory bail application in FIR No.173 dated 24.07.2025, under Sections 109(1), 3(5), 238 BNS, 25/54/59 of Arms Act, 3 of SC and ST Act, registered at Police Station City Ratia, District Fatehabad, has been dismissed.

2. On 12.09.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Appellant has filed appeal against impugned order dated 28.08.2025 passed by Additional Sessions Judge, Fatehabad, vide which his anticipatory bail application in FIR No.173 dated 24.07.2025, under Sections 109(1), 3(5), 238 BNS, 25/54/59 of Arms Act, 3 of SC and ST Act, registered at Police Station City Ratia, District Fatehabad, has been dismissed.

Learned counsel for the appellant contended that initially appellant was not named in the FIR but his name surfaced later on, on the basis of disclosure statement of co-accused Ramandeep Singh @ Namu. He has further contended that no specific role or injury has been attributed to the appellant. Firearm injuries are attributed to the co-accused – Vishnu @ Dogar. The provisions of SC and ST Act are not attracted qua the appellant as appellant himself belongs to SC category and in this context he has referred to Annexure P-2. As per SC certificate of the appellant, he belongs to SC category. He has submitted that nothing is to be recovered from appellant and the appellant is ready and willing to join investigation.

Mr. R.K. Singla, Addl. A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and seeks time to file status report.

List on 26.11.2025.

Meanwhile, the appellant shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the appellant shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/ Investigating Officer. The appellant shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 482(2) BNSS.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the appellant has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the appellant to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the appellant and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the appellant is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail appeal filed by the appellant is allowed and the order dated 12.09.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) BNSS.

6. However, it is made clear that if the appellant fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

26.11.2025

M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No