



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

217

CRM-M-51423-2025
Date of decision: 17.09.2025

SUDARSHAN ALIAS SANDEEP

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: - Mr. Anupal Singh Tanwar, Advocate with
Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Chhavi Sharma, Asstt. A.G. Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The instant first petition is for seeking concession of regular bail in case bearing FIR No. 52 dated 12.02.2024 registered under Sections 186, 307, 332 and 353 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Civil Lines, Sirsa, District Sirsa.

2. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that the case of the petitioner is identical to that of the co-accused Ravi to whom concession of regular bail has already been granted by this Court vide order dated 21.08.2025 passed in CRM-M-2243 of 2025.

3. Counsel for the respondent-State does not dispute the same and confirms that the custody of the petitioner herein is more than 01 year and 06 months and that despite relying on more than 16 witnesses, no witness

**CRM-M-51423-2025**

-2-

has been examined so far.

4. I have heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respective parties.

5. In view of the facts noticed above and taking into consideration the age of the petitioner; the stage of trial wherein no witness has been examined out of the total 16 prosecution witnesses and by extending a parity to the petitioner at par with co-accused Ravi to whom concession of regular bail has been allowed vide order dated 21.08.2025, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

6. The instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

7. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witnesses in any manner directly or indirectly.

8. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the Trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

SEPTEMBER 17, 2025*Vishal Sharma***(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No