



120

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-27319-2025

Date of Decision: 12.09.2025

Hardeep Singh and others

...Petitioners

vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Sunny K. Singla, Advocate, for the petitioners.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners have filed the present writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India with a prayer to issue a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to grant the statutory pay scale to them as mandate in Punjab Civil Services (Revised Pay) Rules, 2009 (Annexure P-3) and instructions dated 15.12.2011 (Annexure P-4) viz 10300-34800+3200 Grade Pay and revision as per 6th Pay Commissioner from the entry into service along with arrears and interest. A further prayer has been made to direct the respondents to take into account the statutory pay scale of Rs.10300-34800+3200 Grade Pay with further pay revisions. Further prayer has also been made to issue a writ in the nature of Certiorari to quash the instructions dated 29.10.2020 and 17.07.2020 (Annexures P-5 and P-6).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the case of the petitioners is squarely covered by the ratio of the law laid down by this Court in CWP-15896-2023 (O&M), titled as **"Saurabh Sharma and others Vs. State of Punjab and Anr."** dated 13.09.2024.

3. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioners have already submitted a representation dated 11.08.2025 (Annexure P-15) to the

respondents and he shall be satisfied in case, appropriate directions are issued to the respondent No.1 to decide the representation dated 11.08.2025 (Annexure P-15) in a time bound manner.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents and has no serious objection to the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners, at this stage.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record carefully.

7. At this stage, it would be appropriate to direct respondent No.1 to decide the representation dated 11.08.2025 (Annexure P-15) in view of the law laid down by this Court in CWP-15896-2023, titled as **“Saurabh Sharma and others Vs. State of Punjab and Anr.”** dated 13.09.2024 within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

8. Needless to observe that respondent No.1 shall pass a speaking and well reasoned order in the light of the above referred judgment as well as relevant rules/instructions and in case, it is found that the petitioners are entitled to relief claimed in the instant petition, all consequential benefits may also be released to the petitioners forthwith along with a reasonable rate of interest.

9. The present petition is disposed of, accordingly.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

12.09.2025

hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No