



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

235

CWP-29659-2022
Date of Decision: 08.09.2025

Santosh Rani ...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Ms. Manpreet, Advocate for
Mr. Saurabh Bajaj, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Parveen Mehta, Additional Advocate General, Haryana

Mr. Tejas Bansal, Advocate and
Mr. Sanjiv Kumar Aggarwal, Advocate for respondent no.4

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

The petition has been filed *inter alia* seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing fourth respondent to release the part of gratuity payable to the petitioner by the respondent College along with interest.

2. On the last date of hearing, learned counsel for fourth respondent submitted that the petitioner's claim has been satisfied by payment of twenty-five per cent share of gratuity amounting to ₹2,15,538 to her vide cheque no.336612 dated 02.12.2022, drawn on the Punjab National Bank, Hisar.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the fact that fourth respondent was liable to pay only twenty-five per cent share.
4. Apparently, the claim has been satisfied, and the petition accordingly stands disposed of.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

08.09.2025
Payal

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No