

CWP-29307-2024

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

126

CWP-29307-2024

Date of Decision:- 14.01.2025

Anil Kumar Jain

...Petitioner

Versus

District and Sessions Judge
and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. L.K. Gollen, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajaivir Singh, Advocate for the respondents.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petitioner is working as Clerk and was appointed in the year 1998 but he is not a Graduate and was not granted the benefit of revised pay scale as the same was only admissible to a Graduate clerk/Ministerial Staff hence, the petitioner was entitled for the grant of one increment under the terms of Shetty Pay Commission, which benefit has not been extended to him only on the ground that the petitioner is working as clerk irrespective of the fact that his pay scale was never revised.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the said issued has already been decided by this Court while passing order in **CWP-11142-2021, titled as Charanjeet Karwal and others vs. State of Haryana and others.**

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 28.02.2023 (Annexure P/6) which is still pending for consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be

**CWP-29307-2024****-2-**

satisfied at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Ajaivir Singh, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondents and submits that in case representation dated 28.02.2023 (Annexure P/6) has been received by the respondents and is pending for consideration, an appropriate order on the same will be passed keeping in view the direction given by this Court in CWP-11142-2021 within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order and in case, the petitioner is found entitled, the said benefit will be granted to him otherwise, due reasons for not accepting the claim of the petitioner will be mentioned in the speaking order, which will be conveyed to the petitioner for his information and necessary action.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement made by learned counsel for respondents No.1 and 2, present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

January 14, 2025
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No