



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

104

(1)

CM-7002-LPA-2025
CM-7003-LPA-2025
CM-7004-LPA-2025 in/and
LPA-2783-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 29.10.2025

National Highways Authority of India and another

....Appellants

Versus

Veena Rani and others

....Respondents

(2)

CM-7006-LPA-2025
CM-7007-LPA-2025
CM-7008-LPA-2025 in/and
LPA-2785-2025 (O&M)

National Highways Authority of India and another

....Appellants

Versus

Rita Kalra and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: Mr. Chetan Mittal, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Mayank Aggarwal, Advocate
for the appellant(s).

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

CM-7002-LPA-2025 in LPA-2783-2025 and
CM-7006-LPA-2025 in LPA-2785-2025

These are the applications under Section 5 of the Limitation Act
for condonation of delay of 26 days in LPA-2783-2025 and 26 days in LPA-
2785-2025 in filing the appeals.



**LPA-2783-2025 (O&M) and
LPA-2785-2025 (O&M)**

-2-

Keeping in view the facts mentioned in the applications, the same are allowed and the delay of 26 days in LPA-2783-2025 and 26 days in LPA-2785-2025 in filing the appeals is condoned.

LPA-2783-2025 and LPA-2785-2025

1. After arguing for some time, the Learned Senior Counsel for the appellants submits that while differentiating between certain land owners, the grant of benefit in whose favour was taken as a base for granting relief in favour of the respondent, the differentiating fact between such land owners could not be brought to the notice of the learned Single Judge, hence, both the appeals may kindly be disposed of having not been pressed any further with liberty to file a review before the learned Single Judge.
2. Ordered accordingly.
3. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.
4. Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

October 29, 2025

Varinder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No