



113 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-27072-2025

Date of decision: 15.09.2025

Amritpal Singh Sandhu

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Ms. Mehak Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Dhiraj Chawla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Arora, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vikram Preet Arora, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present civil writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari* for quashing the impugned transfer order dated 05.09.2025 (Annexure P-4) *qua* the petitioner, whereby, he has been transferred from Patiala to Pathankot.

2. Learned State counsel at the very outset submits that the present writ petition has become infructuous as the impugned order dated 05.09.2025 (Annexure P-4) vide which, the petitioner was transferred from Patiala to Pathankot, has been withdrawn.

3. In view of the stand taken by the learned State counsel, the present civil writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

**(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE**

15.09.2025

Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No