



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

116

CWP-27058-2025
Date of Decision: 12.09.2025

Shalini

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Mr. Sunil K. Nehra, Advocate, with
Mr. Suryaveer Surjewala, Advocate, and
Mr. Arjun Dosanj, Advocate for the petitioner

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, Senior Deputy Advocate General,
Haryana

Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate, and
Ms. Sheena Dahiya, Advocate for respondent no.3/Commission

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has been filed *inter alia* seeking a writ of *certiorari* quashing the impugned result/announcement dated 14.08.2025, Annexure P-16, on the ground that question nos.1(a), 2(b), 3(a), 3(b), 6, 8, 10 & 15(b)(iii), were wrong, incorrect and vague.

2. Learned counsel for respondent no.3/Commission contends that representation has been received by them in this regard, and the Commission is in the process of considering the same.

3. In view thereof, the petition is disposed of with the direction to Secretary of the Commission to treat the present petition as a representation on behalf of the petitioner and decide the same by passing a reasoned order at least a week prior to holding of the interviews.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

12.09.2025
Payal

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No