



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

ARB-69-2023

Date of decision : 17.10.2025

M/s Agri Gold Projects Ltd.

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE

Present: Mr. Tejasvi Sheokand, Advocate (arguing counsel), and
Ms. Pranhita Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vipin Pal Yadav, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Harminder Singh, Advocate (arguing counsel), and
Mr. Damanjeet Singh, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 and 3.

SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

1. Petitioner is before this Court by way of petition under Section 11 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, seeking appointment of sole Arbitrator in terms of agreement dated 17.03.2010 (Annexure P-1).

2. During the course of arguments, it came to light, as pointed out by learned counsel for the State of Punjab, that agreement relied upon by the petitioner has not been filed in toto and only extracts/relevant clauses, which in all probability favour the petitioner have been re-produced.

2.1 Unfortunately, this petition is pending for the last more than two years awaiting decision, and that too on the basis of an incomplete document, based on which prayer for appointment of sole Arbitrator has been made.



3. Petitioner is obliged to file all the documents in complete, without picking and choosing a particular clause in the agreement. The picking and choosing amounts to suppression of material fact, which is the case herein.
4. In view of the above, this Court is left with no option but to dismiss this petition on the ground of suppression of material facts, with cost of Rs. 50,000/-, to be deposited with Bar Association, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh, within a period of 15 days from today.

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

October 17, 2025
ndj

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No