



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

328

1. CRM-M-54317-2024  
Date of decision: January 14<sup>th</sup>, 2025  
Jagjeet Singh .....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another .....Respondents

2. CRM-M-54576-2024  
Mukul Mehta .....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another .....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present: Mr. Sahil Parmar, Advocate  
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-54317-2024)  
for respondent No.2 (in CRM-M-54576-2024).  
  
Mr. Rohit Khullar, Advocate  
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-54576-2024)  
for respondent No.2 (in CRM-M-54317-2024).  
  
Mr. H.S. Deol, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)**

This order shall dispose of both the above-mentioned petitions as the same pertain to the same occurrence.

2. Prayer in these petitions is for quashing of GD No.30 dated 08.12.2023 under Sections 279, 427 of the IPC and FIR No.125 dated 02.12.2023 under Sections 279, 427 of the IPC registered at Police Station Maqsudan, District Jalandhar Rural, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise arrived at

between the parties.

3. Vide order dated 14.11.2024 passed by this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/ Illaqa Magistrate to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

4. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jalandhar, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondents have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR/cross case *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

5. The trial Court has annexed the copies of the statements of the parties, along with its report.

6. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and private respondents are the only aggrieved persons in the FIR/cross case in question.

7. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jalandhar, and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, these petitions are allowed. The aforesaid FIR/cross case and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed *qua* the petitioners.

8.               Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

January 14<sup>th</sup>, 2025  
*Puneet*

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned       :       Yes

Whether reportable                 :       No