



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

128

CRWP-9903-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 10.09.2025

SANJEEV PAL AND ANOTHER

.... PETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.... RESPONDENTS

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present : Mr. Ankit Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Aditya Pal Singh, AAG, Haryana.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)**CRM-W-1321-2025**

Allowed as prayed for.

Main case:

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents and not to interfere in their lives.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners contended that the petitioners are major and are residing together in a live-in relationship, but they apprehend threat to their life and liberty from the private respondents. While drawing the attention of this Court to representation dated 08.09.2025 (Annexure P-3), learned counsel has submitted that the matter was reported to respondent No. 2-Commissioner of Police, Panchkula seeking police protection, however, it went in vain. Learned counsel further submitted that



the petitioners would be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2 to look into the aforesaid representation and take appropriate steps at the earliest.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Aditya Pal Singla, AAG, Haryana, accepted notice on behalf of the official respondents and submitted that the competent authority will take action in accordance with law.

5. Heard.

6. In view of the above, without commenting upon the status of live-in-relationship of the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with directions to respondent No.2 to take necessary steps, as per direction of this Court as held in CRWP No. 4660 of 2021 titled as “Yash Pal and another vs. State of Haryana and others, decided on 09.09.2024” the judgments rendered by Full Benches of this Court in LPA No. 1678 of 2014 and in LPA No. 769 of 2021, whereby qua adults in a live-in relationship, the espoused protection for warding off threats arising from moral vigilants or from the close relatives of any of them, has been granted. However, it is made clear that if the petitioners commit any offence against the State or any person, this order shall not create any embargo or impediment to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)

JUDGE

10.09. 2025

anju

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No